



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,146	02/11/2004	Peter Arthur Tobler	718026.64	2145
27128	7590	04/23/2009	EXAMINER	
HUSCH BLACKWELL SANDERS LLP			WEST, JEFFREY R	
720 OLIVE STREET				
SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS, MO 63101			2857	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pto-sl@huschblackwell.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,146	TOBLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey R. West	2857	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey R. West. (3) _____.

(2) Mark E. Stallion (Reg. #46,132). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All - by discussion of independent claim subject matter.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0004656 to Bjornson and U.S. Patent No. 6,061,640 to Tanaka et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and the Examiner discussed interpretations of Bjornson and Tanaka, specifically whether Tanaka correlates product quality control measurement data regarding a product defect to information relating to at least one part defect. Applicant and the Examiner also briefly discussed possible amendments for overcoming the outstanding invention by providing limitations specific to the environment of the instant invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey R. West/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2857	
--	--